

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address. COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO. 2292
09/695,216	10/25/2000 .	Gregory J. Lauckhart	4127-4001	
75'	90 11/04/2003	EXAMINER		
MORGAN &	FINNEGAN LLP	WILLETT, STEPHAN F		
345 Park Avenu New York, NY			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
New Tork, INT	10154		2141	
			DATE MAILED: 11/04/200	3

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/695,216

Applicant(s)

Bartot et al.

Examiner

Stephan Willett

Art Unit 2141



	The M	AILING DATE of th	nis communication	n appears o	on the cover sh	eet with	the correspondence address	
	for Reply					_		
THE N	MAILING	DATE OF THIS C	OMMUNICATIO	Ν.	_		_ MONTH(S) FROM	
		nay be available under the communication.	provisions of 37 CFR 1	I.136 (a). In n	no event, however, r	may a reply b	e timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the	
 If the p If NO p Failure Any re 	period for reph period for reph to reply within ply received b	y specified above is less t	naximum statutory perion and for reply will, by sta see months after the ma	od will apply ar tute, cause the	nd will expire SIX (6) a application to beco	MONTHS from ABANDC	0) days will be considered timely. om the mailing date of this communication. ONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). filed, may reduce any	
Status								
1) 💢	Respons	ive to communica	ition(s) filed on <u>/</u>	Mar 1, 200	01			
2a) 🗌	This acti	ion is FINAL .	2b) 💢	This acti	on is non-fina	l .		
3) 🗆	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposi	tion of Cla	aims						
4) 💢	Claim(s)	1-69					is/are pending in the application.	
4	la) Of the	above, claim(s)					is/are withdrawn from consideration.	
5)□	Claim(s)						is/are allowed.	
6) 💢	Claim(s)	1-69					is/are rejected.	
7) 🗆	Claim(s)	4.5.7961					is/are objected to.	
8) 🗆	Claims _				are	e subject	to restriction and/or election requirement.	
Applica	tion Pape	ers						
9) 🗆	The spec	cification is object	ed to by the Exa	aminer.				
10)	The drav	wing(s) filed on _		is/are	a) 🗆 accepte	ed or b)	\square objected to by the Examiner.	
	Applica	nt may not request	that any objection	n to the dr	rawing(s) be he	eld in abey	yance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
11)	The prop	posed drawing co	rrection filed on	·	is	:a)□ a	pproved b) \square disapproved by the Examiner.	
	If appro	ved, corrected draw	wings are require	d in reply t	o this Office ad	ction.		
12)	The oath	n or declaration is	objected to by	the Examir	ner.			
•		5 U.S.C. §§ 119 a						
13)	Acknow	ledgement is mad	le of a claim for	foreign pr	iority under 3	5 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a) 🗆	All b)	☐ Some* c)☐	None of:					
	1. ☐ Ce	rtified copies of the	ne priority docum	nents have	e been receive	ed.		
	2. 🗌 Ce	rtified copies of the	ne priority docur	nents have	e been receive	ed in App	olication No	
			om the Internati	onal Burea	au (PCT Rule 1	17.2(a)}.	eceived in this National Stage	
-					·			
14)∟ ລ\ົ	_	rledgement is made				_		
15)		anslation of the fo rledgement is mad					C. §§ 120 and/or 121.	
Attachm		.ougomont io mac			p.1011t, 011001	30 3.3.		
_		ences Cited (PTO-892)			4) Interview S	ummary (PTC	0-413) Paper No(s)	
2) No	otice of Drafts	sperson's Patent Drawing	Review (PTO-948)		5) Notice of In	formal Patent	t Application (PTO-152)	
3) 💢 Inf	formation Disc	closure Statement(s) (PTC)-1449) Paper No(s)	3-4	6) Other:	_		

Application/Control Number: 09/695,216 Page 2

Art Unit: 2141

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

1. Claims 6, 8 are objected to because of the following informalities: Claim 6 states "to a at least" and states "contents of said said at least"; claim 8 states "wherein a client client uses".

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claim 11 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. A "clean traffic data sample" is unclear.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out

Art Unit: 2141

the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103© and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Page 3

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 1-69 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bull et al. with Patent Number 5,995,943 in view of Lee et al. with Patent Number 6,601,100.
- Regarding claim(s) 1, 6, 7, 33, 45, 48, 53-55, 60, 65, Bull teaches storing traffic content collected, such as a URL, col. 14, lines 43-46 and col. 16, lines 13-15. Bull teaches storing summarized traffic data, col. 8, lines 7-8 and col. 15, lines 18-21. Bull teaches a GUI display to present data, col. 10, lines 24-26. Bull teaches statistically sampling data, col. 16, lines 1-5. Bull teaches the invention in the above claim(s) except for explicitly teaching estimating traffic at a Web cite. In that Bull operates to analyze content of the Internet, the artisan would have looked to the network monitoring arts for details estimating network content. In that art, Lee a related network monitor, teaches "the server process gets a Web page with its metadata", col. 6, lines 5-6 in order to provide relevant data. Lee specifically teaches counting "the numbers of distinct occurrences of one or more metadata types", col. 6, lines 33-34. Analyzing web page content at a web cite is also taught. Further, Lee suggests "metadata about the Web page is a description of the Web page itself and/or its content", col. 5-6, lines 66-1 which results from the monitoring

Application/Control Number: 09/695,216

Art Unit: 2141

functions. The motivation to incorporate Web cite traffic analysis insures clients, servers and intermediaries to network processing are appraised of the data they are forwarding. Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to incorporate server page analysis as taught in Lee into the monitoring system described in Bull because Bull operates with client and servers and Lee suggests that optimization can be obtained by monitoring data transmitted at a Web server. Therefore, by the above rational, the above claims are rejected.

- 8. Regarding claim(s) 2, 56, 61, 66, Bull teaches retrieving data from a proxy cache server, col. 3, lines 8-11 and col. 7, lines 12-13.
- 9. Regarding claim(s) 3, 25, 34, 37, 50, 57, 62, 66, Lee teaches number of impressions of content, col. 6, lines 38-40.
- 10. Regarding claim(s) 4, 23-24, 29-31, 35, 38, 41-43, 46, 49, 51, 58, 63, 68, Bull teaches a prober or monitor that fetches pages, col. 8, lines 38-41 and classifies or indexes them, col. 11, lines 60-64.
- 11. Regarding claim(s) 5, 20-21, 59, 64, 69, Bull teaches using criteria dependent reporting, col. 8, lines 5-6, 15-17 and col. 10, lines 24-26.
- 12. Regarding claim(s) 8, 10, Bull teaches a Web front end browser on the Internet, col. 9, lines 4-5 and col. 8, lines 59-61.
- 13. Regarding claim(s) 9, 24, 32, 44, Bull teaches system operator administration, col. 8, lines 43-48.
- 14. Regarding claim(s) 12, 27-28, 39-40, 52, Lee teaches removing URL and user data, col. 6, lines 22-23 and col. 7, lines 23-29.

Application/Control Number: 09/695,216

Page 5

Art Unit: 2141

- 15. Regarding claim(s) 13, Lee teaches counting URL Gets, col. 6, lines 33-35.
- 16. Regarding claim(s) 14, 16, 18, Bull teaches mapping data, col. 13, lines 12-14.
- 17. Regarding claim(s) 15, 24, Lee teaches probability to sample a URL as rate data, col. 14, lines 20-27 and col. 15, lines 24-30 and Bull teaches URL contribution as part of the statistics, col. 15, lines 53-56.
- 18. Regarding claim(s) 17, Bull teaches CGI, col. 1, lines 17-18.
- 19. Regarding claim(s) 19, 26, Bull teaches various data content such as audio, images, etc., col. 15, lines 1-3.
- 20. Regarding claim(s) 22, Lee teaches XML structures, col. 9, lines 40-47.

21.

22. Regarding claim(s) 36, 47, Bull teaches advertising content, col. 8, lines 19-21 and col. 16, lines 1-5 and in Lee at col. 16, lines 30-34.

Conclusion

- 23. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure is disclosed in the Notice of References Cited. The other references cited teach numerous other ways to perform data and web page content monitoring, thus a close review of them is suggested.
- 24. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Stephan Willett whose telephone number is (703) 308-5230. The examiner can normally be reached Monday through Friday from 8:00 AM to 6:00 PM.

Application/Control Number: 09/695,216

Page 6

Art Unit: 2141

- 25. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Rupal Dharia, can be reached on (703) 305-4003. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 746-7239.
- 26. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-9605.

sfw

October 29, 2003

LE HIEN LUU PRIMARY EXAMINER